1	2	3	4	5	6
UNION TERRITORIES					
26.	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	32	15	4	152
27.	Chandigarh . . .	45	31	37	713
28.	Dadar Nagar Haveli . .	—	—	—	24
29.	Delhi . . .	167	130	101	5007
30.	Daman and Diu* . . .	—	—	—	18
31.	Lakshadweep . . .	—	—	—	34
32.	Pondicherry . . .	25	8	3	1067
	Total:	3949	3939	4599	313393

Note ;—*No Employment Exchanges is functioning in the State/Union Territories.

Implementation of Mandal Commission Recommendations

1982. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER : Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) Whether Supreme Courts' recent decision on implementation of Mandal Commission recommendations regarding reservation has given rise to many important issues; and

(b) if so, what attitude Government have adopted in this regard and what are the details of initiatives taken so far towards implementing main recommendations of the Commission as also the policy adopted by Government towards protect against it ?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI) : (al Yes, Sir.

(b) Government has welcomed the Supreme Court majority judgement of 16-11-92, whereby it has upheld the principle that 27% of the vacancies in civil posts and services could be reserved for the Backward Classes.

The Court has declared that the reservation of 10%> of the posts in favour of Other Economically Backward Sections of the people, who are not covered bv any of the existing schemes of reservation is constitutionally invalid. Government will examine the full implications of this verdict and in this process consult al) political parties.

The Supreme Court and issued inter alia the following directions to the Government of India in this judgement :

ii) to constitute, within a period ot" 4 months, a permanent body for entertaining, examining and recommending upon requests, for inclusion, and complaints of over-inclusion, and under-inclusion in the lists of Other Backward Classes of citizens;

(ii) to specify, within a period of 4 months, the basis applying the relevant and requisite socio-economic criteria to exclude socia'ly advanced persons/sections ('creamy layer) from other backward classes.

Action for compliance with these directions has already been initiated.

Government is also keeping a watch over (he responses to the Supreme Court judgement from various quarters and would take appropriate action as deemed necessary.

National SC/ST Financial Development Corporation

1983. SHRI VITHALRAO MADHAVRAO JADHAV : Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) whether ..Government have set up National Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Finance and Development Corporation to make special efforts to extend financial support for various industrial ventures to the members of these communities;

(b) if so, the details of the schemes currently in operation and the financial dh-

bursement made under each scheme, state* wise and year-wise for the last three year*;

(c) what is the total number erf proposals received; with total financial assistance sought and the details of assistance provided in each case, state-wise for the last three years; and

(d) the number of applications penddjg and since when and the number of applications rejected, state-wise for the last three years and for Maharashtra state i* particular ?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The various schemes being operated by NSFDC are in the field of transport, dairy fanning, fruit cultivation, piggery and poultry, tyre service centre, watch repair centre, agriculture, paper re-cycling, weaving, silk reeling, goat rearing; gas agency, photostat, electronic shop, brick-Jkitn units. atone brushes, rice, milk, paneger boats, tubewells, hatchery, spray aaiatwg, eye dink shopping complex, development of wasteland, minor irrigation, leather manufacturing units, tea pro-cenof units, fuel briquette units, sisal fibre, etc.

The State-wise and year-wise disbursement* made for various schemes are. given in Statement-I.

(c) The details of proposals received, the total financial assistance sought and the financial assistance provided state-wise upto 31st October, 1992 is given in statement-II. This information does not include the proposals which either have been withdrawn or cancelled on the request cf the state channelising agency/SCDCs.

(d) The number of applicaitons pending and rejected Statewise upto 31st October, 1992 is given in Statement-II I.

Statement-1

Statewise and Yearwise Disbursements (as on 31-10-92)

(Rs. in lakhs)

State	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
Assam	—	27.00	29.00	—	56.00
Bihar	—	15.44	456.18	5.60	477.22
Mizoram	—	—	135.13	28.66	163.79
Nagaland	—	—	17.05	3.85	20.90
Manipur	—	—	15.00	29.35	44.35
Orissa	—	—	239.70	3.85	243.55
Tripura	—	—	91.93	—	91.93
West Bengal	—	—	70.57	0.93	71.50
Delhi	—	—	104.60	66.64	171.24
Haryana	—	—	166.00	—	166.00
Himachal Pradesh	—	—	166.05	13.20	179.25
Jammu & Kashmir	—	—	50.00	—	50.00
Punjab	—	—	291.52	50.00	341.52
Uttar Pradesh	—	—	555.00	—	555.00
Andhra Pradesh	35.00	42.05	933.98	—	1011.03
Karnataka	—	—	316.52	5.13	321.65
Kerala	—	18.25	—	112.00	130.25
Tamil Nadu	—	42.00	148.86	20.88	211.74
Madhya Pradesh	—	468.85	169.50	—	638.35
Maharashtra	—	—	94.13	6.26	100.39
Rajasthan	—	—	—	6.78	6.78
Total:	35.00	613.59	4050.72	339.93	5052.44

Statement-II

Summary of Proposals pending and sanctioned as on 31-10-1992

(Rs. in lakhs)

S. No.	Name of State/UT	Pending			Sanctioned		
		No. of schemes	Total cost	NSFDC's share sought	No. of schemes	Total cost	NSFDC's share
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Assam	23	971.99	693.71	19	339.17	241.92
2.	Andhra Pradesh	4	247.37	116.95	4	7015.94	3785.63
3.	Andaman & Nicobar Islands	—	—	—	1	23.21	13.45
4.	Bihar	4	283.77	166.08	18	1720.09	1012.20
5.	Delhi	8	39.82	21.80	18	1056.35	555.29
6.	Goa	—	—	—	6	14.31	7.44
7.	Gujarat	12	1212.88	811.86	—	—	—
8.	Haryana	—	—	—	6	911.10	557.51
9.	Himachal Pradesh	1	25.61	12.81	6	365.00	237.66
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	—	—	—	1	149.50	104.65
11.	Karnataka	9	1236.92	216.64	17	2746.71	1248.28
12.	Kerala	5	448.35	259.88	5	858.50	368.11
13.	Madhya Pradesh	9	3198.97	1734.55	12	1633.75	863.20
14.	Maharashtra	27	1140.52	485.38	25	727.60	166.71
15.	Meghalaya	1	8.24	6.18	—	—	—
16.	Mizoram	35	606.10	435.71	23	332.71	213.71
17.	Manipur	9	210.82	153.68	13	143.43	81.32
18.	Nagaland	38	495.28	117.13	23	186.44	69.98
19.	Orissa	7	538.59	253.97	23	644.79	322.67
20.	Punjab	—	—	—	4	1597.60	1027.76
21.	Pondicherry	2	41.20	41.20	1	19.80	14.85
22.	Rajasthan	16	1470.26	1100.42	12	120.89	67.82
23.	Tamil Nadu	13	815.63	494.90	8	1290.38	447.82
24.	Tripura	23	266.37	187.03	6	157.14	98.53
25.	Uttar Pradesh	1	69.75	69.75	4	2622.46	1722.38
26.	West Bengal	29	329.33	162.13	11	621.56	361.91
	Total :	256	13657.74	7541.76	266	25298.43	13590.80

Statement-III

The Statement showing State-wise Loan Applications Received, Rejected and Sanctioned as on 31-10-1992

Sl. No.	Name of State	APPLICATIONS			
		Received	Sanctioned	Pending	Rejected
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Assam	51	22	23	6
2.	Bihar	56	19	4	33
3.	Mizoram	86	24	35	27
4.	Manipur	34	13	9	12

1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	Orissa	36	28	7	1
6.	Tripura	38	15	23	—
7.	West Bengal	35	12	19	4
8.	Andaman & Nicobar	1	1	—	—
9.	Delhi	29	20	8	1
10.	Haryana	6	6	—	—
11.	Himachal Pradesh	7	6	1	—
12.	Jammu & Kashmir	2	1	1	—
13.	Punjab	5	4	—	1
14.	Rajasthan	29	12	16	1
15.	Uttar Pradesh	6	4	1	1
16.	Andhra Pradesh	12	5	4	3
17.	Karnataka	35	15	0	11
18.	Kerala	13	6	5	2
19.	Tamil Nadu	40	9	13	18
20.	Pondicherry	4	1	2	1
21.	Madhya Pradesh	35	11	9	15
22.	Maharashtra	109	25	27	57
23.	Goa	11	6	—	5
24.	Gujarat	27	5	12	10
25.	Nagaland	64	25	38	1
	Total :	771	295	266	210

NOTE:—The yearwise information in this regard cannot be given as every scheme is of its own nature.

Degeneration of Widow Home in Delhi

1984. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA : Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's attention has bee drawn to the news item appearing in "The 'lindustan Times" dated the 12th August, 1992 regarding degeneration of widow home in Delhi housing families from the erstwhile East Pakistan; and

(b) if so, what measures have been taken by Government to improve the situation ?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI) : (a) and (b) The news-item under the heading 'Degeneration of Widows home' appeared in the Hindustan Times on 17ttt August, 1992.

The issue raised ki the w:nd"«t»n Times concerns 32 refugee families living in 4 large dormitories since 1966-67. During the last 25 years, the number of inhabitants belonging to the 32 original families have increased leading to the problem of congestion. The Government is seized of the probelm and is making all efforts to resettle these families with the help of the Slum Wing of the Delhi Development Authority.

Rank of Chairman of National Commission for SC/ST

1985. SHRI SANGH PRIYA GAUTAM : Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the present Chairman of the National Commission for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes has been accorded the status of a cabinet minister;

(b) whether the present Chairman is being provided with same number of staff as provided to a Cabinet Minister; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITARAM KESRI) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.